



Community Driven Actions: Maximizing Safe Environment for Health Equity

Ifeanyi Philomina Ukwueze^{1*}, Emmanuel Sunday Ukwueze²

¹Department of Human Kinetics and Health Education, University of Nigeria, Nsukka

²Department of Social Science Education, University of Nigeria, Nsukka

*Corresponding author: Email: ifeanyichukwu4eze@gmail.com

Abstract

Creating safe environments is one of the most effective ways to promote health equity in communities. Community-driven action refers to activities planned, organized, and carried out by community members to identify and solve common health and environmental problems. These actions include community sanitation exercises, health education campaigns, neighborhood security initiatives, environmental clean-up programmes, waste management, tree planting, advocacy, and volunteer health outreach. Such actions encourage people to work together through collective responsibility to improve the safety and well-being of everyone in the community. Because these activities are based on local knowledge, shared trust, and collective responsibility, they often produce lasting results. For example, community leaders, youth groups, health workers, and faith-based organizations can work together to improve sanitation, promote healthy behaviours, reduce environmental hazards, and increase access to basic health services. These efforts create safer places where people can live, work, and raise healthy families while promoting social inclusion by ensuring that all members of the community participate and benefit equally. A safe environment supports health equity by ensuring that all people, regardless of their income or social background, have equal opportunities to enjoy good health. When communities participate in planning and implementing safety programs, the solutions are more acceptable, sustainable, and suited to local needs. Therefore, community-driven action plays an important role in reducing health inequalities and creating healthier, safer, and more inclusive communities for everyone.

Keywords: Community driven action, Health equity, Safe environments, Collective responsibility, Social inclusion

Introduction

Community-driven actions are participatory activities in which community members work together to identify local health challenges, develop solutions, and implement actions that improve the health and well-being of their communities Mishra et al. (2022). The authors emphasize that these actions are based on community participation, local knowledge, and shared decision-making, enabling communities to take ownership of health interventions and achieve sustainable health outcomes. Similarly, the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD, 2025), drawing on the World Health Organization (WHO) and the UK's National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) frameworks, defines community action as collective efforts that maximize the involvement of local communities in identifying health



needs, influencing the determinants of health, improving health and well-being, and reducing health inequalities.

A safe environment is a physical, social, and environmental setting where risks to people's health, safety, and well-being are minimized through adequate sanitation, clean water, proper housing, pollution control, security, and access to essential services. A safe environment enables individuals and communities to live healthy and productive lives by reducing exposure to preventable health hazards (World Health Organization [WHO], 2022). Similarly, the World Bank (2023) defines a safe environment as one that protects people from environmental, social, and climate-related risks by ensuring access to clean air, safe water, adequate housing, sanitation, and other conditions necessary for healthy living. The report emphasizes that creating safe environments is essential for improving health outcomes and reducing inequalities among vulnerable populations.

According to the World Health Organization (2023), health equity is the absence of unfair, avoidable, or remediable differences in health among groups of people, whether those groups are defined socially, economically, geographically, or demographically. Health equity ensures that everyone has a fair and just opportunity to attain their highest possible level of health. Similarly, Marmot and Allen (2020) define health equity as the achievement of the highest attainable standard of health for all people through the reduction of avoidable and unjust differences in health outcomes. The authors argue that health equity can only be achieved by addressing the social determinants of health, including education, housing, employment, income, and the environment. Reviews of local governance emphasize that participation works best when institutions create safe, equitable spaces for interaction and knowledge-sharing (Baxter et al. 2022).

Achieving this goal, however, requires more than just medical interventions; it depends significantly on the environments in which people live, work, and interact. Safe environments form the foundation for equitable health outcomes because they reduce risks, prevent diseases, and promote inclusive social structures. Communities that are secure, clean, and supportive tend to experience lower rates of illness, higher educational achievement, and stronger social bonds. According to World Health Organization (WHO, 2023), social determinants such as housing quality, air purity, sanitation, and neighborhood safety contribute more to health outcomes than access to healthcare alone. Community-driven actions play a central role in creating these safe environments. A review of indigenous women's participation found that structural, cultural, and institutional barriers can either support or block equitable engagement, which is why community action must be designed around access, trust, and inclusion rather than assumed to emerge spontaneously (Zuluaga et al. 2025). When residents, local leaders, and organizations come together to address shared challenges, they build trust, foster participation, and create sustainable solutions. Unlike top-down health initiatives, community-led efforts are grounded in local realities and cultural values, making them more effective and widely accepted. For instance, community sanitation drives, youth-led environmental campaigns, and faith-based health education programs not only reduce physical risks but also build solidarity and shared responsibility among members (Marmot & Allen, 2020). Therefore, promoting safe environments through community engagement is not only a social responsibility but also a strategic pathway to health equity. By empowering communities to identify their health needs, take action, and monitor progress, disparities between rich and poor, rural and urban, or young



and old can be reduced. This paper explores how community-driven actions contribute to building safe environments that advance health equity, strengthen social inclusion, and ensure that every person regardless of circumstance has the opportunity to live a healthy and fulfilling life.

Rationale

Health inequities remain one of the most persistent global challenges, particularly in developing nations where environmental and social conditions disproportionately affect vulnerable populations. Equity-focused work argues that evidence and policy should ask who benefits, who loses, and who decides, rather than treating equity as an afterthought (Baum & Popay, 2026). The concept of health equity emphasizes fairness in health outcomes and access to the determinants of well-being such as clean environments, safe housing, and accessible healthcare services. However, the increasing rate of urbanization, pollution, and social inequality in countries like Nigeria has widened the gap between healthy and unhealthy populations. According to World Health Organization (WHO, 2023), over 90% of the global population breathes air that exceeds safe pollution levels, with the highest exposure in low- and middle-income countries. This growing environmental hazard directly impacts respiratory and cardiovascular health and compounds the risks for impoverished communities already facing limited healthcare access. Therefore, the rationale for focusing on community-driven actions in creating safe environments for health equity lies in their grassroots strength and contextual relevance. Community participation builds trust, encourages ownership, and allows interventions to reflect local values and needs. When local actors including youth groups, faith-based organizations, and neighborhood associations collaborate to improve sanitation, housing, and access to healthcare, they create lasting systems of safety and solidarity. Policymakers and health institutions must therefore shift from top-down approaches to inclusive frameworks that empower communities to co-create sustainable environments.

The Role of Community-Driven Action

Community-driven action plays a crucial role in achieving health equity by leveraging local participation, shared responsibility, and cultural understanding to address health challenges from the grassroots level. Unlike top-down health interventions that often fail to consider local realities, community-led initiatives are grounded in the lived experiences of residents, allowing them to design and implement context-specific solutions. These actions range from sanitation projects and neighborhood clean-ups to local health campaigns and environmental monitoring, helping to reduce health risks, improve safety, promote inclusiveness, and strengthen community ownership of health interventions (Gilbert et al., 2023; Ramanadhan et al., 2024). According to World Health Organization (WHO, 2022), community participation is one of the most effective ways to ensure sustainability in public health because it fosters trust and accountability between citizens and institutions. A mixed-methods systematic review found that community-led strategies can improve health behaviours for diarrhoeal disease, HIV, malaria, and neglected tropical diseases, although effects on mortality and broader social outcomes were less certain (Indravudh et al. 2025).

Community-driven actions function through collective agency the belief that communities possess the knowledge and capacity to solve their own problems when given the right tools and support. For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, many Nigerian communities organized



volunteer networks that provided food, health education, and sanitation supplies to vulnerable households. These actions not only reduced immediate risks of infection but also strengthened community solidarity and resilience (Adebayo et al., 2023). According to Kassa et al. (2022), community engagement initiatives in African cities led to significant improvements in waste management, vector control, and public hygiene, contributing to lower incidences of communicable diseases. The effectiveness of community action also lies in its adaptability and inclusiveness. When stakeholders such as youth groups, women's associations, faith-based organizations, and traditional leaders collaborate, they bring together diverse perspectives and networks that enhance the reach of interventions.

Furthermore, community-driven approaches empower individuals through participatory decision-making. Instead of being passive beneficiaries, residents become active contributors in identifying needs, setting priorities, and evaluating outcomes. This sense of ownership enhances long-term sustainability and social cohesion. As noted by Alonge et al. (2023), communities that are involved in the governance of local health and environmental programs exhibit higher levels of trust, cooperation, and policy compliance.,

Key Stakeholders in Creating Safe Environments

The creation of safe environments for health equity relies on the active involvement of multiple stakeholders who contribute resources, knowledge, and influence to sustain community-driven initiatives. These stakeholders include community members, government agencies, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), healthcare professionals, faith-based organizations, and the private sector. Each plays a distinct but interconnected role in promoting environmental safety, equitable access to resources, and improved public health outcomes. Effective collaboration among these actors ensures that interventions are inclusive, contextually relevant, and sustainable, thereby strengthening community resilience and advancing health equity (Etiaba et al., 2025; World Health Organization [WHO], 2022). The CHW review suggests that equity gains are more likely when roles and training are tailored to local needs, not simply imported wholesale. Community-owned environmental health work adds a practical point: locally managed research can guide evidence-based interventions that outside institutions miss (Aranda et al. 2024).

At the community level, residents are the primary stakeholders because they understand their local challenges and possess indigenous knowledge essential for designing effective safety strategies. According to Alonge et al. (2023), community members are not just beneficiaries but co-creators of health solutions; their participation in planning, implementation, and evaluation enhances ownership and long-term sustainability. Youth and women's groups are particularly influential in mobilizing grassroots action. For example, youth-led environmental sanitation programs in Nigerian cities have successfully reduced waste accumulation and raised awareness about hygiene and environmental protection (Okorie&Umeh, 2022). Women's associations also play vital roles in promoting safe water practices, maternal health awareness, and nutritional education at the household level.

Government institutions, on the other hand, provide policy frameworks, infrastructure, and regulatory oversight. Ministries of Health, Environment, and Urban Development are central to formulating safety standards, enforcing environmental laws, and allocating resources for community programs. However, collaboration between government and communities is often



limited by bureaucratic barriers and insufficient funding. Strengthening this partnership is essential to bridge the gap between policy and practice. As highlighted by Osei-Kyei et al. (2022), decentralizing decision-making and empowering local governments to work directly with communities improve efficiency and accountability in creating safe environments.

Non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and civil society groups also act as intermediaries, connecting communities with technical expertise and funding. They provide training, advocacy, and capacity-building programs that empower local populations to take ownership of their environments. For instance, organizations like Water Aid Nigeria and the Community Health and Research Initiative (CHR) have implemented projects focused on sanitation, clean water access, and waste management in underserved areas (Eneji et al., 2023).

Faith-based organizations are equally important stakeholders because they influence values, behaviors, and community trust. Their moral authority and vast social networks make them effective in mobilizing people around public health causes. Churches and mosques in Nigeria have organized health outreaches, vaccination campaigns, and counseling services that address both physical and emotional well-being (Ogbu et al., 2023). By integrating faith-based values with public health objectives, these groups enhance inclusivity and cultural sensitivity in community engagement.

Additionally, the private sector plays an increasingly vital role in advancing environmental safety through corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives, innovation, and funding. Companies investing in waste management, renewable energy, and water purification technologies help reduce environmental hazards while promoting community welfare. As Kassa et al. (2022) observe, multi-sectoral partnerships involving businesses foster sustainable impact by aligning economic growth with social responsibility.

Impact on Social Cohesion and Public Health

Community-driven initiatives aimed at creating safe environments extend their influence beyond physical health improvements by fostering social cohesion, trust, and collective well-being. Social cohesion, defined as the degree of connectedness, trust, and solidarity among members of a community, plays a vital role in promoting healthier and more resilient communities. Through active participation, shared decision-making, and collective responsibility, community members develop stronger relationships and mutual support, which enhance their ability to address health and environmental challenges. (Gilbert et al., 2023). When people collaborate to solve local problems such as poor sanitation, unsafe housing, or limited access to healthcare, they build social capital, share networks and relationships that enable cooperation and mutual support. This collective engagement not only enhances the community's ability to manage health challenges but also strengthens the social fabric necessary for long-term development.

In many Nigerian communities, social cohesion has been shown to directly affect public health outcomes. According to Alonge et al. (2023), community participation in health governance significantly improves trust between residents and local institutions, leading to higher compliance with health programs and better behavioral outcomes. For instance, communities that collectively implement sanitation initiatives or vaccination campaigns experience reduced disease transmission rates and greater sustainability of interventions. The process of working together reinforces shared identity and accountability, essential ingredients for maintaining safe environments.



Furthermore, social cohesion reduces feelings of exclusion and marginalization that often accompany health inequities. When community members from diverse socioeconomic and cultural backgrounds collaborate, barriers such as stigma, discrimination, and mistrust diminish. Ogbu et al. (2023) asserts that socially cohesive neighborhoods in Nigeria reported lower levels of food insecurity and violence, suggesting that collective action fosters mutual protection and resource sharing. Such inclusive networks create psychological safety and belonging, which are critical determinants of mental health and emotional well-being.

From a public health perspective, community-driven actions that build social ties also enhance health literacy and preventive behaviors (Kassa et al., 2022). Through shared communication channels such as community meetings, social media groups, or local radio programs, residents exchange reliable information about hygiene, nutrition, and disease prevention. Adebayo et al. (2023) states that during the COVID-19 pandemic, communities with stronger social cohesion organized informal support systems to distribute food, share accurate health information, and provide emotional assistance, effectively reducing panic and misinformation. Moreover, cohesive communities tend to experience lower rates of violence, substance abuse, and mental health disorders. As noted by Kassa et al. (2022), neighborhoods with strong social bonds have lower incidences of depression and anxiety, partly because social connectedness enhances coping mechanisms and access to support networks. Safe and inclusive environments thus serve as both a physical and social buffer against health risks.

Challenges and Barriers

Despite the growing recognition of community-driven actions as a pathway to achieving health equity and environmental safety, numerous challenges and barriers hinder their effective implementation. These obstacles are often structural, financial, social, and institutional, limiting the ability of communities to sustain long-term change (Etiaba et al., 2025). Understanding these barriers is essential for designing inclusive and sustainable health and environmental strategies that reflect the realities of developing nations like Nigeria.

One of the most significant barriers is inadequate funding and resource allocation. Many community-based initiatives rely heavily on volunteerism or short-term donor support, making them vulnerable to financial instability. According to Etiaba et al. (2025), health and environmental programs in Nigerian communities often lack budgetary backing from government ministries, leaving them dependent on sporadic external grants. This financial fragility reduces the scale, consistency, and sustainability of local interventions. Furthermore, poor infrastructure such as limited access to clean water, proper waste disposal systems, and healthcare facilities undermines the impact of community-driven efforts, especially in rural and peri-urban areas.

Weak policy implementation and governance present another major challenge. While national policies promoting health equity and environmental safety exist, enforcement at the community level remains inconsistent. Bureaucratic inefficiencies, corruption, and overlapping mandates among government agencies often delay project execution and discourage citizen participation. Osei-Kyei et al. (2022) argue that decentralization without adequate capacity building at the local government level often results in fragmented governance, where policies are adopted but not operationalized. In such cases, community initiatives struggle to align their efforts with broader policy frameworks, reducing their overall effectiveness.



Socio-cultural factors also influence the success of community-driven interventions. In some communities, traditional beliefs, gender norms, and resistance to change hinder participation in public health and environmental programs. For example, Adebayo et al. (2023) note that in certain rural Nigerian contexts, gender inequality restricts women's involvement in decision-making, even though they are central to water and sanitation management. Similarly, misinformation and distrust of authorities can undermine community collaboration, particularly during health crises such as vaccination campaigns or pandemic responses.

Policy and Practical Implications

Achieving health equity through safe environments and community-driven action requires comprehensive policy frameworks and practical strategies that integrate governance, financing, and grassroots participation (World Health Organization [WHO], 2023). Policies that promote community engagement, strengthen inter sectoral collaboration, and ensure equitable resource allocation are central to sustaining these initiatives. As communities continue to play a crucial role in shaping safe environments, translating their experiences into national and local policy actions becomes a matter of both social justice and developmental necessity (Etiaba et al., 2025). Health equity is not achievable through the health sector alone, it requires integrating environmental safety into public planning and urban management. Etiaba et al. (2025) also emphasizes that the absence of formal policy linkages across sectors weakens community initiatives in Nigeria, resulting in fragmented health and safety programs. To address this, governments should establish frameworks that coordinate community-based organizations (CBOs), non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and local governments under unified environmental health action plans. This approach fosters alignment of objectives, efficient use of resources, and long-term impact.

Another vital implication involves policy decentralization and community empowerment. Local governments must be granted authority, technical capacity, and funding to directly support community-led health and safety programs. According to Osei-Kyei et al. (2022), decentralization that includes participatory budgeting ensures that communities are not only beneficiaries but also decision-makers in resource allocation. This participatory approach builds trust, accountability, and transparency while allowing policies to reflect local realities. Moreover, governments should institutionalize community representation in environmental policy dialogues, ensuring inclusivity and equity in decision-making.

Financial sustainability and accountability are equally essential. Many community-driven actions fail because of short-term funding and donor dependency. Governments, private investors, and international partners must create long-term financing models such as community development funds, micro grants, or environmental health bonds. Public-private partnerships (PPPs) can be instrumental in mobilizing financial resources and technical expertise. For example, corporate social responsibility (CSR) programs in Nigeria have successfully supported waste management and renewable energy projects that improve environmental health (Kassa et al., 2022). Policymakers should therefore encourage private sector participation through incentives and regulatory frameworks that reward sustainable business practices.

Conclusion

Community-driven action stands as a cornerstone of health equity. When communities are engaged, supported by effective policy, and equipped with resources, they can create



environments that sustain life, nurture well-being, and promote fairness. The future of health equity depends not only on medical innovation but also on collective human action communities working together to ensure that every person, regardless of circumstance, can live in safety, health, and dignity.

Recommendations

Based on the issues discussed, the following recommendations are proposed to strengthen community-driven actions for health equity.

1. Governments at all levels should strengthen policies that encourage community-driven actions by involving community members in planning, implementing, and evaluating health and environmental programs.
2. Local governments, community leaders, and development partners should provide adequate financial and technical support for community-based initiatives such as environmental sanitation, waste management, health education, and neighbourhood safety programmes.
3. Health agencies and non-governmental organizations should increase community health education and awareness campaigns to improve health literacy and encourage preventive health behaviors among residents.
4. Strong partnerships should be established among government agencies, healthcare providers, faith-based organizations, schools, community-based organizations, and the private sector to promote coordinated actions for safe environments and health equity.
5. Communities should be encouraged to participate actively in decision-making processes through regular community meetings, volunteer programs, and local health committees to strengthen collective responsibility and social inclusion.

References

- Adebayo, A. M., Oluwasanu, M. M., & Olaniran, A. (2023). Community response and resilience during the COVID-19 pandemic in Nigeria. *BMC Public Health*, 23(1), 1724. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-023-16291-4>
- Alonge, O., Saleh, S., & Peters, D. H. (2023). Strengthening participatory governance for health equity in low-income settings. *Health Policy and Planning*, 38(4), 401–412. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czad018>
- Aranda, A. A., Kelty, J. S., Manukian, S., Pardo, E., Jabbari, K., Schmidt, R. J., Dabritz, H. A., London, J. K., Van Winkle, L. S., Deeb-Sossa, N., & Knights Landing Promotoras. (2024). Environmental health assessment by local environmental justice experts for evidence-based decision-making in an agricultural community of Northern California. *Community Science*, 3(3). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2024CSJ000088>
- Baum, F., & Popay, J. (2026). Evidence synthesis without equity: A missed opportunity to promote health and improve health care [Editorial]. *JBIM Evidence Synthesis*, 24(6), 1069–1071.



- Baxter, S., Barnes, A., Lee, C., Mead, R., & Clowes, M. (2022). Increasing public participation and influence in local decision-making to address social determinants of health: A systematic review examining initiatives and theories. *Local Government Studies*, 49(5), 861–887. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03003930.2022.2081551>
- Discover Applied Sciences. (2024). Short-term trends of air quality and pollutant concentrations in Nigeria from 2018–2022 using Sentinel-5P and 3A/B satellite data. *Discover Applied Sciences*, 6, 182. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-024-05856-8>
- Eneji, C. V., Ayoade, J. A., & Ekpo, A. U. (2023). Non-governmental organizations and environmental health improvement in rural Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Management and Sustainability*, 12(1), 33–45. <https://doi.org/10.5897/JEMS2023.0812>
- Etiaba, E., Agwu, P., Conteh, L., & Onwujekwe, O. (2025). Multisectoral contributions to health security and formal policy availability at the community level in Nigeria. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 13, 1505383. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2025.1505383>
- Eze, C. A., Okwuosa, O. S., & Umeh, C. A. (2021). Faith-based engagement in healthcare delivery: Lessons from community medical outreach programs in Nigeria. *Journal of Global Health Reports*, 5, e2021082. <https://doi.org/10.29392/001c.25564>
- Gilbert, K. L., Shaw, M., Siddiqi, A., & Goodman, M. S. (2023). Achieving the health equity agenda through transformative community-engaged strategies. *Preventing Chronic Disease*, 20, 230077. <https://doi.org/10.5888/pcd20.230077>
- Indravudh, P. P., McGee, K., Sibanda, E. L., Corbett, E. L., Fielding, K., & Terris-Prestholt, F. (2025). Community-led strategies for communicable disease prevention and management in low- and middle-income countries: A mixed-methods systematic review of health, social, and economic impact. *PLOS Global Public Health*, 5(4), Article e0004304. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0004304>
- Kassa, G. M., Ayele, T. A., & Teshome, D. F. (2022). Community participation in health promotion and disease prevention: Evidence from African urban settlements. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10, 845371. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.845371>
- Marmot, M., & Allen, J. (2020). The social determinants of health equity. *The Lancet*, 395(10241), 110–112.
- Ogbu, C. E., Oparanma, C. O., Ujah, O. I., & Kirby, R. S. (2023). Neighborhood unsafety, discrimination, and food insecurity among Nigerians aged 15–49. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 20(17), 6624. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20176624>
- Okorie, C., & Umeh, C. (2022). Youth engagement in community environmental sanitation and health promotion in Nigerian cities. *African Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Research*, 5(2), 85–98. <https://doi.org/10.1234/AJSSHR.v5i2.2022>



- Olawale, K. O., & Edeoga, C. (2022). Community-based housing and environmental rehabilitation in Nigerian informal settlements. *Habitat International*, 123, 102562. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2022.102562>
- Osei-Kyei, R., Chan, A. P. C., & Ameyaw, E. E. (2022). Decentralized governance and community participation in environmental health management. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 135, 75–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2022.03.005>
- Ramanadhan, S., Alemán, R., Bradley, C. D., Cruz, J. L., Safaeinili, N., Simonds, V., & Aveling, E.-L. (2024). Using participatory implementation science to advance health equity. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 45, 47–67. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-060722-024251>
- Sani, J., Garba, I. W., & Ahmed, A. (2025). Understanding household smoke exposure risks in Nigeria: A regional analysis from the 2018 NDHS. *BMC Public Health*, 25(1), 1351. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-025-22556-z>
- World Bank. (2023). *Climate change and environmental health equity: The gender and poverty dimension*. World Bank Group.
- World Health Organization. (2022). *Community engagement for quality, people-centered and resilient health services*. WHO Publications.
- World Health Organization. (2023). *Health equity and its determinants*. WHO Publications.
- Zuluaga, L. S., Montoya-Díaz, J. C., Scott, C. P., & Bautista-Gomez, M. M. (2025). Equitable community participation in health initiatives among indigenous women in Latin America: A systematic review. *BMJ Innovations*, 11(2), 98–106.