

SYSTEMATIC APPRAISAL OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE THEORIES AND MODELS OF RELAPSE PREVENTION

Faith M. Ajodo^{1*}, Andrew E. Zamani² & Emmanuel O. Alhassan³

^{1,2,3}Department of Psychology, Nasarawa State University, Keffi, Nigeria.

*mondayfaith@nsuk.edu.ng

ABSTRACT: Substance use disorder is recognized as a chronic, relapsing condition, with a significant percentage of individuals experiencing relapse within one year of treatment. Understanding the intricate factors contributing to relapse is paramount for developing effective prevention and intervention strategies. Various theoretical frameworks offer insights into addiction relapse, shedding light on its underlying mechanisms. Social learning theory underscores the influence of observational learning, modelling behaviours, and reinforcement on addictive behaviours, advocating for tailored interventions to enhance relapse prevention. Marlatt and Gordon's Relapse Prevention (RP) Model targets cognitive processes and behavioural strategies, offering a comprehensive approach that is effective for overcoming addiction, despite its complexity. The transtheoretical model (TTM) provides a dynamic framework, tailoring interventions to an individual's stage of change and emphasizing long-term behaviour maintenance. The biopsychosocial model considers biological, psychological, and social factors and advocates for a holistic and individualized approach to relapse prevention. While these models share the need for tailored interventions and recognition of relapse complexity, they differ in their emphasis and application, highlighting the necessity of integrating multiple perspectives for a comprehensive understanding of addictive behaviours and relapse prevention. Therefore, it is recommended that clinicians and experts in the field of substance abuse employ multiple perspectives in approaching substance abuse relapse interventions.

Keywords: Systematic Appraisal, Substance Abuse Theories and Models, Substance Abuse Relapse, Relapse Prevention

INTRODUCTION

Substance abuse relapse is a complex phenomenon that involves the recurrence of addictive behaviours following a period of abstinence or attempted recovery (Sanni et al., 2021). Substance use is best seen as a chronic, relapsing condition (NDLEA, 2021; Okonkwo et al., 2020). According to the NDLEA (2021), after treatment, between 60 and 80% of clients relapse or start using substances again within one year. Thus, understanding the factors that contribute to relapse is crucial for developing effective prevention and intervention strategies. Many hypotheses have been put out over time to explain why addiction relapses occur and to provide insight into the underlying mechanisms and processes that are at play. Relapsing behaviour is a negative result of treatment that frustrates doctors and caregivers alike, but by viewing it as a client's process of recovery, caretakers and caregivers can help manage relapse through effective control and support. In the meantime, competent professionals' and caregivers' theoretical understanding of the issue is still lacking, which is crucial for effectively supporting addicted individuals.

Therefore, various psychological staff members have varying opinions regarding the recurrence of substance dependence. Among the most well-known of these is Albert Bandura's social learning theory, which postulates that people pick up new behaviours through modelling, reinforcement, and observation (Bandura, 1971). The importance of social factors, environmental cues, and witnessing others engaging in addictive behaviours is highlighted by this hypothesis. Although social learning theory offers insightful information, it has some drawbacks and has not received much criticism.

Another theory that has gained attention is the cognitive-behavioural model (Marlatt & Gordon, 1985), which focuses on the cognitive processes and behavioural strategies that contribute to relapse. This model highlights the role of maladaptive thoughts, cravings, and coping strategies in the recurrence of addictive behaviours. It emphasizes the importance of identifying and challenging cognitive distortions, developing effective coping skills, and enhancing self-efficacy to prevent relapse.

Additionally, the transtheoretical model (Prochaska & DiClemente, 1977) offers a systematic and dynamic framework for understanding and addressing relapse by tailoring interventions to an individual's specific stage of change, addressing triggers and temptations, enhancing self-efficacy, and ensuring long-term maintenance of positive behaviours. According to this theory, individuals may cycle through these stages multiple times, as relapse is often considered a normal and expected part of the recovery process (Prochaska et al., 1994). The model emphasizes the importance of tailoring interventions to match an individual's stage of change, recognizing that individuals may have different needs and motivations at different points in their recovery journey. By understanding where individuals are in the stages of change, treatment providers can better address the specific challenges and barriers they face, ultimately increasing the likelihood of successful recovery and reducing the risk of relapse.

Other theories, such as the biopsychosocial model and the stress-coping model, consider a broader range of factors, including biological, psychological, and social elements. These theories recognize the influence of genetic predispositions, neurobiological changes, stress, social support networks, and environmental factors on the relapse process.

It is important to note that these theories are not mutually exclusive, and multiple factors often interact and contribute to relapse. Furthermore, individual differences play a significant role in the relapse process, highlighting the need for personalized and comprehensive approaches for relapse prevention and treatment (Okonkwo et al., 2020).

This paper explored several theories/models in the context of substance abuse relapse to examine the strengths, limitations, applications and clinical implications of these models for understanding and addressing drug abuse relapse.

Objectives of the Study

The objectives of this study are as follows:

1. To evaluate selected theories/models of relapse prevention and its application in the context of substance abuse.
2. To make a systematic appraisal and comparison of the theories of relapse prevention.
3. To determine the clinical implications of the theories/models.

THEORIES OF RELAPSE PREVENTION AND APPLICATION

Over the years, various scholars have made significant efforts to explain relapse or relapse prevention by adopting certain theoretical perspectives to understand addictive behaviour. Therefore, several theories/models have been highlighted to explain this phenomenon and its application in the context of substance abuse.

Social Learning Theory of Relapse Prevention

Albert Bandura's social learning theory (1971) suggests that individuals learn through observation, modelling, and the consequences they experience. This theory has been applied in various domains, including the understanding of addiction and relapse.

Furthermore, this theory has been applied to explain relapse in the context of drug addiction. According to this theory, relapse occurs when individuals are exposed to environmental triggers, social influences, or cues that remind them of substance use and reinforce the desire to engage in addictive behaviours.

In the context of addiction and relapse, individuals may observe and imitate the substance use behaviours of others, particularly when they perceive positive or rewarding outcomes associated with such behaviours (Monti et al., 1993).

Several key concepts within the social learning theory of relapse include the following:

1. **Modelling:** Individuals learn behaviours by observing and imitating others. In the context of relapse, individuals may observe peers, friends, or family members engaging in substance use, and this observation can increase the likelihood of relapse.
2. **Reinforcement:** Positive reinforcement refers to the rewarding consequences that follow a behaviour, which increases the likelihood of that behaviour being repeated. In the context of addiction, the pleasurable effects of substance use can reinforce the desire to engage in addictive behaviours and contribute to relapse (Oei & Morawska, 2004).
3. **Environmental triggers and cues:** Environmental factors, such as specific locations, people, or situations that were associated with substance use in the past, can act as cues or triggers for relapse. These cues may elicit cravings or memories associated with substance use, making it more difficult to resist the urge to engage in addictive behaviours.
4. **Social support and influence:** The social environment plays a significant role in relapse. Social support, or lack thereof, can influence an individual's ability to maintain recovery. Positive social influences and support can reduce the risk of relapse, while negative social influences, such as being in the company of individuals who engage in substance use, can increase the risk.

The social learning theory of relapse highlights the importance of considering social factors and environmental influences in understanding and preventing relapse (Marlatt & Gordon, 1985). This suggests that interventions aimed at preventing relapse should address not only individual factors but also the social and environmental contexts that contribute to addictive behaviours (Witkiewitz & Marlatt, 2014).

Critique of Social Learning Theory of Relapse Prevention

Social learning theory has been widely applied in various areas, including addiction and relapse. However, it is not without its limitations and criticisms when applied specifically to the context of relapse (Donovan, et al., 2013; Miller & Carroll, 2006; Witkiewitz & Marlatt, 2007).

1. Too much emphasis should be placed on social factors as the primary determinants of behaviour; thus, limiting factors such as personal motivations, genetic predispositions, and psychological factors oversimplify the complex nature of relapse.
2. The theory overlooks internal cognitive and emotional processes that influence relapse. Moreso, it emphasizes external observations and reinforcements, neglecting the internal mental states and thought processes of an individual's experience.
3. The theory often focuses on immediate situational factors and short-term observations of behaviour. However, relapse is a dynamic process that requires long-term observation and attention; thus, this theory might not fit properly because it emphasizes immediate social influences.
4. The theory places substantial emphasis on the role of external influences and reinforcements, potentially undermining personal responsibility in the complexity of relapse that may be linked to personal and social factors.

Aside from the limited explanatory power of the theory in the context of relapse, it provides a framework for understanding how behaviour is acquired and reinforced through observation and imitation. However, it may have limited explanatory power in fully capturing the complexities and multidimensional nature of relapse. Relapse involves a range of factors, including biological, psychological, social, and environmental factors, which may not be fully accounted for by social learning theory alone.

Cognitive-Behaviour Model of Relapse Prevention

Marlatt and Gordon's (1985) "Relapse Prevention (RP) Model" is a psychological framework aimed at understanding and addressing relapse in individuals with substance use disorders, particularly alcoholism. The model is grounded in social-cognitive psychology and offers a structured approach to preventing or limiting relapse episodes by examining the cognitive and behavioural factors that contribute to them.

The model categorizes factors that can precipitate or contribute to relapse into two main groups. The immediate determinants include high-risk situations, coping skills, outcome expectancies, and the abstinence violation effect, while the covert antecedents encompass lifestyle imbalances and urges and cravings. The model emphasizes the importance of enhancing an individual's self-efficacy and sense of control over their behaviour (Donovan & Marlatt, 2010; Donovan et al., 2012; Carroll, 1998), which should increase as they maintain abstinence.

High-risk situations that threaten this sense of control can trigger relapse. The abstinence violation effect is another significant concept within the model. It focuses on how individuals react to a lapse and the attributions they make about it. Those who attribute the lapse to personal failure may experience guilt and negative emotions that can lead to increased drinking as a way to cope (Beck, 1993); in contrast, those who attribute the lapse to their inability to cope

effectively with a specific high-risk situation are more likely to view it as a learning opportunity and develop better coping strategies for similar situations in the future.

Application of Cognitive-Behaviour Model to Relapse Prevention

The cognitive-behavioural model (CBM) can be effectively applied to understand and address relapse, particularly in the context of addiction and behaviour change (Marlatt & Gordon, 1985; Donovan & Marlatt, 2010; Carroll, et al., 1991). The following methods can be applied for relapse prevention:

1. **Cognitive Processes:** CBM emphasizes the role of cognitive processes, such as thoughts, beliefs, and perceptions, in influencing behaviour.
2. **Identification of Cognitive Triggers:** The model helps identify cognitive triggers that may lead to relapse. These triggers can be internal or external, as the case may be.
3. **Cognitive Restructuring:** CBM interventions often involve cognitive restructuring, a process aimed at challenging and modifying maladaptive thought patterns. Addressing cognitive distortions
4. **Behavioural Strategies:** CBM combines cognitive strategies with behavioural interventions. Behavioural techniques include coping skills training and problem-solving skills.
5. **Relapse Prevention Planning:** CBM is instrumental in the development of relapse prevention plans. By examining the interplay between thoughts and feelings, personalized strategies for clients can be created.
6. **Skills Enhancement:** The model emphasizes skill-building to enhance an individual's ability to cope with challenging situations.
7. **Self-Monitoring:** CBM encourages self-monitoring of thoughts and behaviours. Individuals learn to recognize early signs of negative thinking patterns
8. **Individualized Treatment:** The CBM recognizes the uniqueness of each individual's cognitive patterns in developing the treatment plan.

The model provides a structured approach to understanding and addressing relapse by targeting cognitive processes, identifying triggers, restructuring maladaptive thoughts, and integrating behavioural strategies. This comprehensive approach is well suited for individuals seeking to overcome addictive behaviours by addressing the underlying cognitive contributors to relapse.

Critique of Cognitive-Behaviour Model of Relapse Prevention

It is important to note that while the model has been widely applied and has demonstrated empirical support, there are ongoing discussions and debates regarding its applicability and scope (Witkiewitz & Marlatt, 2007, 2014; Longabaugh & Wirtz, 2001). Some of these studies highlighted the following:

The model has gathered substantial empirical support and has been applied successfully in the treatment of substance use disorders, particularly alcoholism. Additionally, the model primarily focuses on the cognitive and behavioural aspects of relapse, and while it addresses the abstinence violation effect, it may not fully incorporate the role of physiological factors and neurobiology factors in relapse. Moreover, the model emphasizes the detailed analysis and categorization of high-risk situations and coping strategies.

Finally, Marlatt and Gordon's model has meaningfully contributed to our understanding of substance abuse relapse and has been effective in the treatment of alcoholism and other substance use disorders. However, its complexity and the need for individualized treatment approaches should be considered when applying this model in clinical practice (Donovan & Marlatt, 2010).

Transtheoretical Model of Relapse Prevention

This model was developed in 1977 by James O. Prochaska, Carlo DiClemente, and their team. They initiated the development of the transtheoretical model (TTM) at the University of Rhode Island. The term "transtheoretical" reflects its integration of various psychotherapy theories. Prochaska and colleagues continually refined the model through research disseminated in peer-reviewed journals and books, as exemplified by their publications (Prochaska & Norcross, 2014). The model introduces key components, including stages of change, processes of change, levels of change, self-efficacy, and decisional balance, to explain behaviour change (Prochaska & DiClemente, 2014).

The model describes specific stages of change, namely:

- i. **Precontemplation (not ready):** Individuals in this stage lack an immediate intention to adopt a healthy behaviour within the next 6 months, possibly due to a lack of awareness. Encouraging mindfulness and highlighting the benefits of change can be effective.
- ii. **Contemplation (getting ready):** Participants in this stage intend to initiate healthy behaviour within the next 6 months. While more aware of the pros of change, ambivalence may hinder action. Learning from positive role models and reducing cons through support can be beneficial.
- iii. **Preparation (ready):** People at this stage are prepared to take action within the next 30 days, taking small steps toward integrating healthy behaviour. Encouraging support seeking, communicating about change plans, and addressing concerns about potential failure are essential.
- iv. **Action (current action):** Individuals in this stage have recently changed their behaviour and need to work on maintaining progress. Techniques such as substituting unhealthy activities with positive ones, self-rewarding, and avoiding tempting situations are crucial for sustaining commitments.
- v. **Maintenance (monitoring):** Those in the maintenance stage have maintained behavioural changes for more than 6 months. Emphasis is placed on vigilance against relapse triggers, seeking support, spending time with positive influences, and engaging in healthy activities to cope with stress.

Therefore, preventing relapse requires support from family, health coaches, physicians, and other motivational sources, along with literature and resources, to ensure sustainable behaviour change.

Processes of Change: According to the TTM, processes of change involve covert and overt activities individuals use to progress through different stages of behaviour change (Prochaska & Velicer, 1997). Cognitive, affective, and evaluative processes are predominant in the initial stages, while commitments, counterconditioning, rewards, and support become more influential as individuals move toward the action and maintenance stages. Prochaska and

colleagues stress that interventions aligning with an individual's stage of change are more effective, requiring a growing awareness of pros outweighing cons (decisional balance), confidence in making and maintaining changes (self-efficacy), and the use of change-supporting processes.

According to Prochaska and DiClemente (2005), ten processes of change, including consciousness raising, dramatic relief, self-reevaluation, environmental reevaluation, social liberation, self-liberation, helping relationships, counterconditioning, reinforcement management, and stimulus control, are identified. These processes involve activities such as gaining information, experiencing emotional shifts, reevaluating one's self-image, recognizing environmental impacts, acknowledging societal support, making commitments, seeking support, engaging in healthier behaviours, managing rewards, and controlling stimuli.

Additionally, Bartholomew et al. (2006) expanded upon these processes and introduced 21 additional strategies applicable in health interventions, stress management, exercise, healthy eating, smoking cessation, and other behavioural changes that might help addicted individuals limit their vulnerability to relapse. Some people are adaptable to travel (Friman, Huck & Olsson, 2017); meanwhile, certain processes are recommended for specific stages, while others can be applied across multiple stages in the behaviour change process.

Decisional Balance: This is a fundamental concept within the TTM and represents an individual's evaluation of the advantages and disadvantages associated with behaviour change. Initially, conceptualized as a "balance sheet" by Janis and Mann (1997), it evolves through different stages of change. In the precontemplation stage, the drawbacks typically outweigh the benefits, achieving a more balanced consideration in intermediate stages, and in the action stage, the benefits often outweigh the drawbacks. Effective decision making involves a thoughtful consideration of potential benefits (pros) and costs (cons) associated with behaviour consequences. The assessment of pros and cons contributes to the positive evolution of decisional balance during the change process.

Self-Efficacy: This is a fundamental concept in the TTM and refers to individuals' confidence in their ability to handle high-risk situations without reverting to unhealthy habits. According to Bandura's self-efficacy theory, this construct views a person's perceived capability to perform a task as a mediator of future task performance (Bandura, 1977). Bandura's research has established a link between higher levels of perceived self-efficacy and greater behavioural changes. Similarly, Ajzen (2009) notes the conceptual similarity between self-efficacy and perceived behavioural control, emphasizing the integrative nature of the TTM that amalgamates various behaviour theories. Changes in self-efficacy levels can predict lasting behavioural changes given adequate incentives and skills. The model employs an overall confidence score to gauge an individual's self-efficacy, while situational temptations measure the inclination of individuals to engage in problematic behaviour in specific situations.

Levels of Change: Another core construct, levels of change, assesses the depth or complexity of presenting problems across five levels of increasing complexity (Prochaska & Norcross, 2014). The therapeutic approaches recommended for each level and stage of change vary. The levels include:

1. Symptoms/situational problems.
2. Current maladaptive cognitions.

3. Current interpersonal conflicts.
4. Family/systems conflicts.
5. Long-term intrapersonal conflicts.

Despite a 1999 empirical study finding that stated that measures of levels of change did not predict premature therapy discontinuation, the creators of the TTM emphasized in 2005 the importance of therapists and clients agreeing on the problem's level and targeting specific levels for change in problem behaviour (Prochaska & Norcross, 2014).

Application of the Transtheoretical Model for Relapse Prevention

The transtheoretical model (TTM) can be effectively applied to understand and address relapse in various contexts, particularly in the field of addiction and behaviour change.

1. **Identifying Relapse Stages:** The TTM helps identify the specific stage of change an individual is in concerning their addictive behaviour. Recognizing whether someone is in the early stages (precontemplation, contemplation) or the later stages (preparation, action, maintenance) can guide the development of targeted interventions.
2. **Tailoring Interventions:** Different strategies are effective at each stage of change. For individuals in the early stages, interventions may focus on increasing awareness of the need for change (precontemplation) or resolving ambivalence (contemplation). In later stages, strategies may involve supporting action maintenance and preventing relapse.
3. **Understanding Triggers and Temptations:** The TTM acknowledges the role of situational temptations in contributing to relapse. By understanding specific situations or triggers that increase the risk of relapse, interventions can be designed to enhance coping mechanisms and build resistance to these temptations.
4. **Enhancing Self-Efficacy:** Self-efficacy, the belief in one's ability to overcome challenges, is a central component of TTM. Strengthening self-efficacy is crucial for sustained behaviour change and preventing relapse. Interventions may focus on building confidence, skills, and coping mechanisms to address high-risk situations.
5. **Long-Term Maintenance:** The maintenance stage of the TTM corresponds to the period after successful behaviour change. In the context of relapse prevention, the focus shifts to sustaining the positive changes achieved, including identifying and addressing potential challenges that could lead to relapse.
6. **Continuous Assessment:** The TTM encourages continuous assessment and adaptation of interventions based on an individual's progress. This ongoing evaluation helps tailor support to the evolving needs of the person in recovery, reducing the risk of relapse.
7. **Incorporating Multiple Theoretical Approaches:** As a transtheoretical model, TTM integrates various behaviour change theories. This allows for a comprehensive approach to relapse prevention, considering biological, psychological, and social factors influencing addictive behaviours.

Critique of the Transtheoretical Model of Relapse Prevention

The transtheoretical model (TTM) provides a comprehensive framework for understanding behaviour change. The model's incorporation of relapse acknowledges the challenges individuals face in maintaining behaviour change over time. The model was criticized because of its reliance on self-report measures, which allowed for subjectivity and biases in assessing an individual's stage of change. The linear progression through stages may oversimplify the

complex and dynamic nature of behaviour change, as individuals may move back and forth between stages. Additionally, the expansion of processes of change and introduction of additional strategies by Bartholomew et al. (2006) demonstrates ongoing refinement, but the model's application across various domains, such as travel and stress management, may raise questions about its generalizability. Moreover, the model has been influential in understanding behaviour change. Finally, the model remains a valuable framework, with considerable limitations that should be acknowledged in the interpretation and application of its concepts.

Biopsychosocial Model of Relapse Prevention

The biopsychosocial model of health, proposed by George Engel in 1977, emphasizes that an individual's health is influenced by biological, psychological, and social factors. This holistic approach recognizes the interconnectedness of these elements and their impact on health outcomes. It acknowledges that health and illness result from interactions among biological, psychological, and social factors. The model highlights the role of psychological factors, such as emotions and behaviours, in affecting physical health, as well as the significance of social factors, including cultural norms and social support networks, in shaping overall well-being (Wade & Halliga, 2017; Wong, 2014).

The biopsychosocial model represents a shift from solely focusing on biological or psychological factors to considering the complex interplay between all three dimensions. This challenges the dominance of biomedical and psychological approaches in healthcare and promotes a more comprehensive understanding of health (Crittenden et al., 2021). The model has gained increasing attention among researchers and healthcare professionals in recent years.

Engel's model explains that the development of illness is influenced by intricate interactions among biological, psychological, and social factors (Wade & Halliga, 2017). For example, a genetic predisposition for depression may only lead to illness when combined with social factors such as high levels of stress and psychological factors such as perfectionistic tendencies. This highlights that a genetic predisposition alone is not sufficient to cause illness; triggers from social and cognitive factors are necessary.

The biopsychosocial model continues to be widely used as both a clinical care philosophy and a practical guide for clinicians seeking to broaden their perspectives (Borrell-Carrió et al., 2004). A review by Borrell-Carrió and colleagues 25 years later proposed that the model had evolved into a biopsychosocial and relationship-centered framework for physicians. They identified three clarifications and seven established principles, including self-awareness, active cultivation of trust, empathic curiosity, self-calibration to reduce bias, education of emotions for diagnosis and therapeutic relationships, informed intuition, and communication of clinical evidence to foster dialogue.

Application of the Biopsychosocial Model for Relapse Prevention

In the context of relapse, the biopsychosocial model provides a framework for understanding the factors that contribute to the recurrence of addictive behaviours or substance use after a period of abstinence or recovery. Relapse is a complex phenomenon influenced by biological, psychological, and social factors. Can explain relapse prevention in the context of substance abuse?

Biological factors play a role in relapse through genetic factors and changes in brain structure and function. Some individuals may have a genetic predisposition to addiction, increasing their vulnerability to relapse. Neurotransmitters and reward circuits in the brain also play a crucial role in addictive behaviours and can contribute to relapse if these circuits are activated or triggered.

Similarly, psychological factors are another important element within the biopsychosocial model that influences relapse. Maladaptive thought patterns, cognitive biases, and distorted perceptions can increase the risk of relapse among individuals struggling with addiction. For example, negative thinking patterns or a belief that one can control substance use without consequences can lead to relapse. Cooccurring mental health issues such as depression, anxiety, or trauma can also be triggers for substance use and relapse.

Additionally, social and environmental factors are significant contributors to relapse. Poor supportive relationships, peer pressure, family dynamics, and societal influences can all serve as triggers for relapse. Social isolation or lack of a strong support system can make it more difficult for individuals to maintain their recovery and increase the risk of relapse.

The biopsychosocial model emphasizes that effective relapse prevention involves addressing biological, psychological, and social factors. Treatment and interventions should consider each of these aspects. Medication-assisted treatment can address biological factors, cognitive-behavioural therapy can target psychological factors, and social support networks can address social factors.

Critique of the Biopsychosocial Model of Relapse Prevention

The biopsychosocial model of health has gained substantial recognition and has been widely used in healthcare practice. However, this model has attracted little attention (Wong, 2014; Wade & Halligan, 2017; Nakao, et al., 2020).

First, some critics argue that the biopsychosocial model lacks clear operationalization and measurement. While it emphasizes the interplay of biological, psychological, and social factors, it does not provide specific guidelines for clinical practice (Nakao et al., 2020). Second, the model lacks specificity and potential for oversimplification when considering a wide range of factors, and the model runs the risk of becoming too broad in terms of intervention plans. Critics argue that a more targeted approach may be necessary to address the unique complexities of different health issues.

Additionally, the model may prioritize social and psychological factors over biological factors in some cases and downplay the implications of biological factors in certain contexts (Wade & Halligan, 2017). This can be problematic, particularly when dealing with conditions that have a strong biological basis or when considering the effectiveness of biomedical treatments.

Furthermore, Critics argued that by focusing too much on the interactions between biological, psychological, and social factors, the model may overlook the unique and independent impacts of each factor. This can limit the understanding of how specific factors contribute to health outcomes. Finally, the model has been criticized for lacking clear guidance on how to integrate the different components into effective interventions. Additionally, more guidance is needed to help healthcare professionals effectively integrate the model into their practice. Despite these

critiques, the model continues to be a valuable tool for broadening perspectives in healthcare practice, but it should be used in conjunction with other models and approaches to ensure a comprehensive understanding of health.

Comparison of the Theories/Models of Relapse Prevention

As mentioned at the beginning of this paper, these theories/models are inclusively applied in tailoring effective and successful interventions for behaviour change. Social learning theory, the cognitive-behavioural model, the transtheoretical model, and the biopsychosocial model are all theoretical frameworks used to understand and address relapse in the context of addiction. Therefore, comparing the models is essential.

Social learning theory focuses on the acquisition and maintenance of addictive behaviours through observational learning, modelling, and social influences. The findings emphasize the importance of addressing these factors in interventions to enhance relapse prevention strategies. Marlatt and Gordon's cognitive-behavioural (RP) model takes a structured approach to relapse prevention by targeting cognitive processes, identifying triggers, restructuring maladaptive thoughts, and integrating behavioural strategies. It specifically addresses the underlying cognitive contributors to relapse and has been effective in treating alcoholism and substance use disorders.

Moreover, the transtheoretical model, also known as the stages of change model, views relapse as a dynamic process and tailor interventions to an individual's specific stage of change. It focuses on addressing triggers, enhancing self-efficacy, and ensuring long-term maintenance of positive behaviours. This model encompasses the elements of the transtheoretical model and social learning cues in establishing effective interventions for behaviour change.

Similarly, the biopsychosocial model recognizes the complex interplay of biological, psychological, and social environmental factors in addiction and relapse. Considering the whole person and tailoring interventions to address specific factors contributing to relapse, there is a need for a comprehensive and individualized approach to treatment and prevention.

Finally, each model offers valuable insights and approaches, and they also have limitations. Social learning theory should complement other perspectives due to the multifaceted nature of relapse. Marlatt and Gordon's RP model is complex and requires individualized treatment approaches. The transtheoretical model focuses on stages of change but may not predict premature therapy discontinuation. The biopsychosocial model has critiques related to measurement, oversimplification, potential bias, and a lack of practical guidance. These models provide different lenses to understand and address relapse, and a comprehensive approach often draws from multiple theories to effectively address the complexities of addiction and relapse prevention.

Clinical Implications of the Theories and Models of Relapse Prevention

These theoretical frameworks have several clinical implications:

Integrating Multiple Perspectives: Given the multifaceted nature of relapse, it is essential to integrate multiple theoretical perspectives in clinical practice. By combining elements from social learning theory, Marlatt and Gordon's RP model, the transtheoretical model, and the

biopsychosocial model, clinicians can develop a more comprehensive understanding of relapse and tailor interventions accordingly.

Targeting Social Influences: Social learning theory highlights the role of social influences in the development and maintenance of addictive behaviours. Interventions should address social factors such as peer pressure, social norms, and family dynamics to enhance relapse prevention. This may involve family members or support groups in the treatment process.

Cognitive Restructuring and Trigger Identification: Marlatt and Gordon's RP Model emphasizes cognitive processes and trigger identification as key components of relapse prevention. Clinicians can help individuals recognize and challenge maladaptive thoughts and beliefs, develop coping strategies for high-risk situations, and enhance problem-solving skills to reduce the likelihood of relapse.

Tailoring Interventions to Stages of Change: The transtheoretical model suggests that individuals go through different stages of change during the recovery process. Clinicians can tailor interventions to match an individual's specific stage, whether it is precontemplation, contemplation, preparation, action, or maintenance. This may involve motivational interviewing techniques, goal-setting strategies, and ongoing support.

Individualized and Holistic Approach: The biopsychosocial model highlights the need for a comprehensive assessment and individualized treatment approach. Clinicians should consider the biological, psychological, and social factors contributing to relapse and develop personalized treatment plans that address the unique needs of each individual.

Conclusion

This paper concluded that substance abuse is a threat to public and mental health. Thus, understanding the use and recurrent use of substances from theoretical perspectives is crucial for experts in the field. As found in the review, relapse is a complex situation, and many people cannot discontinue the use of substances. Therefore, gaining a comprehensive understanding of addictive behaviour or relapse, as noted in these theories/models, recognizes the need for effective intervention. Moreover, the review highlighted some discrepancies in the theories/models in which social leaning theory emphasizes observation, modelling and reinforcement; the cognitive behavioural model emphasizes the effectiveness of cognitive processes and behavioural strategies; the transtheoretical model emphasizes the dynamic nature of behaviour change with long-term behaviour maintenance; and the biopsychosocial model emphasizes the need for multiple models for comprehensive understanding to tailor effective interventions for substance abusers.

Recommendations

This paper proposes the following recommendations:

1. Experts and clinicians should gain an in-depth theoretical understanding of substance abuse relapse to explore multiple perspectives to tailor effective interventions for relapse prevention.

2. Clinicians and experts should apply theory-based treatment modalities and ensure proper insight into the strengths and limitations of the models to complement the gaps in the treatment of substance abuse disorders.
3. Clinicians and experts should organize seminars and symposiums to discuss the clinical implications of models for relapse to identify effective interventions for relapse prevention.

REFERENCES

- Bandura, A. (1971). *Social learning theory*. New York: General Learning Press.
- Bandura, A. (1977). *Social learning theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Bartholomew, L. K., Parcel, G. S., Kok, G., & Gottlieb, N. H. (2006). *Planning health promotion programs: An intervention mapping approach* (1st ed.). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Beck, A. T. (1993). Cognitive therapy: Past, present, and future. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 61(2), 194-198.
- Borrell-Carrió, F., Suchman, A. L., & Epstein, R. M. (2004). The biopsychosocial model 25 years later: Principles, practice, and scientific inquiry. *Annals of Family Medicine*, 2(6), 576-582. <https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.245>
- Carroll, K. M. (1998). *A cognitive-behavioral approach: Treating cocaine addiction*. National Institute on Drug Abuse Therapy Manuals for Drug Addiction, Manual 2.
- Carroll, K. M., Rounsaville, B. J., & Gawin, F. H. (1991). A comparative trial of psychotherapies for ambulatory cocaine abusers: Relapse prevention and interpersonal psychotherapy. *The American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*, 17(3), 229-247.
- Crittenden, P. M., Landini, A., & Spieker, S. J. (2021). Staying alive: A 21st-century agenda for mental health, child protection and forensic services. *Human Systems*, 1, 29-51. <https://doi.org/10.1177/26344041211007831>
- Donovan, D. M., & Marlatt, G. A. (Eds.). (2010). *Assessment of addictive behaviors* (2nd ed.). Guilford Press.
- Donovan, D. M., Spence, S. H., & March, S. A. (2012). Cognitive-behavioral therapy for substance use disorders. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 8, 641-664.
- Donovan, D. M., Kivlahan, D. R., & Walker, R. D. (2013). A cognitive neuroscience approach to addiction: Implications for assessment and treatment. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 44(1), 5-14.
- Engel, G. L. (1977). The need for a new medical model: A challenge for biomedicine. *Science*, 196(4286), 129-136. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.847460>

- Friman, M., Huck, J., & Olsson, L. (2017). Transtheoretical model of change during travel behavior interventions: An integrative review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 14(6), 581–596. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14060581>
- Janis, I. L., & Mann, L. (1977). *Decision making: A psychological analysis of conflict, choice, and commitment*. New York: Free Press.
- Longabaugh, R., & Wirtz, P. W. (2001). The role of cognitive and motivational processes in initiating mutual help group involvement among individuals with alcohol problems. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 69(5), 811-820.
- Marlatt, G. A., & Gordon, J. R. (1985). *Relapse prevention: Maintenance strategies in the treatment of addictive behaviors*. Guilford Press.
- Miller, W. R., & Carroll, K. M. (Eds.). (2006). *Rethinking substance abuse: What the science shows, and what we should do about it*. Guilford Press.
- Monti, P. M., Rohsenow, D. J., & Rubonis, A. V. (1993). Cue exposure with coping skills training and communication skills training for alcohol dependence: 6- and 12-month outcomes. *Addiction*, 88(3), 349-360. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.1993.tb00896.x>
- Nakao, M., Takeuchi, T., Yano, E., & Nakamura, K. (2020). Rediscovering the biopsychosocial model: The perspective of Japanese psychosomatic medicine. *BioPsychoSocial Medicine*, 14(1), 17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13030-020-00215-0>
- National Drug Law Enforcement Agency. (2021). *Standard policy and practice guidelines for NDLEA counselling centre*. National Survey.
- Oei, T. P., & Morawska, A. (2004). A cognitive model of binge drinking: The influence of alcohol expectancies and drinking refusal self-efficacy. *Addictive Behaviors*, 29(1), 159-179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2003.07.001>
- Okonkwo, C., Onyedibe, M. C. C., Okeke, N. A., & Agoha, B. C. (2020). Prevalence and sociodemographic factors of relapse among patients with substance use disorder in Lagos, Southwest Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Psychological Research*, 16(1), 2-7.
- Prochaska, J. O., Velicer, W. F., Rossi, J. S., Goldstein, M. G., Marcus, B. H., Rakowski, W., & Fiore, C. (1994). Stages of change and decisional balance for 12 problem behaviors. *Health Psychology*, 13(1), 39-46.
- Prochaska, J. O., & DiClemente, C. C. (2005). The transtheoretical approach. In J. C. Norcross & M. R. Goldfried (Eds.), *Handbook of psychotherapy integration* (2nd ed., pp. 147–171). Oxford University Press.
- Prochaska, J. O., & Velicer, W. F. (1997). The transtheoretical model of health behavior change. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 12(1), 38-48. <https://doi.org/10.4278/0890-1171-12.1.38>

- Prochaska, J. O., & Norcross, J. C. (2014). *Systems of psychotherapy: A transtheoretical analysis* (8th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Sanni, M. M., Bolu-Steve, F. N., Durosaro, I. A., & Adigun, A. A. (2021). Prevalence of drug relapse among clients in rehabilitation centres in North Central Nigeria: Implications for school counsellors. *The Canadian Journal of Family and Youth*, 13(2).
<https://doi.org/10.29173/cjfy29668>
- Smith, M. A. (2021). Social learning and addiction. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 398(1), 1129–1154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2020.112954>
- Wade, D. T., & Halligan, P. W. (2017). Do biomedical models of illness make for good healthcare systems? *Biomedical Journal*, 358, j3314.
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j3314>
- Witkiewitz, K., & Marlatt, G. A. (2007). Modelling the complexity of posttreatment drinking: It is a rocky road to relapse. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 27(6), 724-738.
- Witkiewitz, K., & Marlatt, G. A. (2014). Relapse prevention for alcohol and drug problems: That was Zen, this is Tao II. *American Psychologist*, 69(3), 260-273.
- Wong, P. T. (2014). Reclaiming the biopsychosocial model of addiction. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*, 46(2), 113-120. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02791072.2014.877283>